| Scenario | Description | Analyses to be Performed | Models to be Used | Status | Resource Actions addressed by Scenario |
|----------|---|---|----------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | Benchmark Study (Existing Conditions): This | This scenario is the basis for comparing all other operational scenarios. | CALSIM II | Completed | |
| | · · | | HYDROPS | Near Completion | |
| | (which includes the existing biological opinions for steelhead and spring-run chinook salmon). | | WQRRS | Near Completion | |
| | | | HEC-RAS | Completed | |
| | Benchmark Study (Future Conditions): This scenario | This scenario is the basis for | CALSIM II | _ | |
| | uses the future level-of-development hydrology as well as the current regulatory framework (which includes the | comparing all other operational scenarios. | HYDROPS | _ | |
| | existing biological opinions for steelhead and spring-run | ' | WQRRS | _ | |
| | chinook salmon). | | HEC-RAS | Completed | |
| 1 | Eliminate pump-back operations: This scenario is the same as the Benchmark scenario except pump-back operations are eliminated to test estimate the effects that of pump-back would have on water temperatures in Thermalito Afterbay and the Feather River. | | HYDROPS WQRRS | Preliminary Preliminary | EWG-35, EWG- 83, EWG-87 |
| 2 | Eliminate pump-back and peaking operations: In addition to eliminating pump-back operation, this scenario also "flattens" the generation pattern – no peaking of the generation – May through September to test effects that peaking would have on water temperatures in Thermalito Afterbay and the Feather River. | | HYDROPS WQRRS | Preliminary – | EWG-35, EWG- 83, EWG-87 |
| 3 | · J | Perform desktop analyses to look at how contingency operations are impacted by this action. | HYDROPS WQRRS | | EWG-28 |

| | Revised October 30, 2003; Based on E&O Workgro | Tup Discussion | I | | Resource |
|----------|--|--|-------------------------|--------|---|
| Scenario | Description | Analyses to be Performed | Models to be Used | Status | Actions addressed by Scenario |
| 4 | Maintain a constant water surface fluctuation in the Thermalito Afterbay during bass and waterfowl nesting periods: This scenario is the same as the Benchmark scenario except water surface in the TAB is required to fluctuate each day for the period March through June. Two specific model runs would be analyzed. | Perform desktop analyses to look at how contingency operations are impacted by this action. | HYDROPS WQRRS | | EWG-28 |
| 5 | Eliminate the Fish Hatchery temperature requirement as a control for Oroville Dam operations: This scenario assumes the Fish Hatchery water can be cooled by a means independent of the source water temperature; thus, it does not impact decisions on facility and river temperatures. | | HYDROPS WQRRS | | EWG-35, EWG- 36, EWG-37, EWG-38, EWG- 83, EWG-87 |
| 6 | Increase minimum release to low flow section: This scenario is the same as the Benchmark Scenario except the release to the Low-Flow section of the Feather River will be increased (value to be determined from fisheries studies) during the key spawning and rearing period (June through December). | | HYDROPS WQRRS | | EWG-3, EWG- 88 |
| 7 | Gradual flow increase for spawning: This scenario is the same as the Benchmark Scenario except the release to the low flow section of the Feather River will be "ramped up" increased and held during the key spawning and rearing periods in the fall. Once the flow is ramped to the desired level, it will be maintained until the larval fish emerge from the gravel (April?). This scenario would be based upon the Benchmark scenario, but may require re-run of CALSIM II if ramped Low-Flow section releases exceed the total release prescribed in the CALSIM II Benchmark. | This requires setting a new constraint exception for releases to the low flow channel for part of the year. Flows to consider: 1000, 1500, 2000. | CALSIM II HYDROPS WQRRS | | EWG-15A, EWG-15B |

| Scenario | Description | Analyses to be Performed | Models to be Used | Status | Resource Actions addressed by Scenario |
|----------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|---|
| 8 | Eliminate releases from the Thermalito Afterbay to the Feather River: Releases from the TAB would be curtailed from May through December. During that period, water would be released to the river at the Diversion Dam. The purpose of this scenario is to evaluate (1) the effect of residence time on water-temperatures in the afterbay and (2) the effect of water-temperatures and attraction flows on fall spawning and rearing. Replaced by Scenario 22. | | HYDROPS WQRRS | | EWG-35, EWG- 36, EWG-37, EWG-38, EWG- 83, EWG-87 |
| 9 | Impose a 60°F water temperature requirement at Robinson Riffle: This scenario would attempt to meet the water supply needs prescribed from the CALSIM II benchmark scenario and would adjust Oroville Facilities operations to achieve the temperature objective from June through September. CALSIM II would be re-run as needed to investigate potential water supply effects. Combined with Scenario 10 as sensitivity analysis. | | CALSIM II HYDROPS WQRRS | | EWG-36, EWG- 37, EWG-38 |
| 10 | Impose various water temperature requirements (60°F and 65°F) at various locations along the river the end of the Low-Flow section (Robinson Riffle, Thermalito Outlet, Honcut Creek, etc.?): This scenario is similar to #9, but meets the temperature objective further downstream. As with Scenario #9, it would This scenario attempts to meet the water supply needs prescribed from the CALSIM II benchmark scenario and would adjust while adjusting Oroville Facilities operations to achieve the temperature objective from June through September. CALSIM II would be re-run as needed to investigate potential water supply effects. | | CALSIM II (if needed) HYDROPS WQRRS | | EWG-36, EWG-37, EWG-38 |

| Scenario | Description | Analyses to be Performed | Models to be Used | Status | Resource Actions addressed by Scenario |
|----------|---|---|----------------------|-----------|---|
| 11 | Impose a 65°F water temperature requirement at the end of the low-flow section: This scenario is similar to | | CALSIM-II | | EWG-36, EWG- 37, EWG-38 |
| | #10, but meets the temperature objective further-downstream. As with Scenario #10, it would attempt to | | HYDROPS | | |
| | meet the water supply needs prescribed from the CALSIM II benchmark scenario and would adjust Oroville Facilities operations to achieve the temperature-objective from June through September. CALSIM II-would be re-run as needed to investigate potential water-supply effects. | | WQRRS | | |
| 12 | | Review water supply and | CALSIM II | | EWG-30 |
| | Oroville: Reservoir level would be allowed to drop 9 feet per month from March through June. Review of Existing | | HYDROPS | | |
| | Conditions Benchmark indicates that there will be a problem in many June's. | | WQRRS | | |
| 13 | WATER SUPPLY IMPACT ON LAKE OROVILLE WATER LEVELS: This set of scenarios is to evaluate how sensitive Oroville lake levels are to varying levels of SWP demands. The SWP demands will be set at 0, 1.0, 2.0., 3.0, and full Table A (4.2) levels. | | CALSIM II | Completed | None |
| 14 | Investigate the effects of providing additional flood | | CALSIM II | | None |
| | reservation: The approach would be to perform reservoir routing analysis for additional flood reservation | | HYDROPS | | |
| | conditions. Operations models would be used to | | WQRRS | | |
| | investigate impacts to other resource areas. | W0000 | HEC-5 | | |
| 15 | as the Benchmark Scenario but this scenario includes a channel that leads from the Thermalito Power Plant to | WQRRS can not model this as stated. Would require development of some other analysis technique. | WQRRS | | EO1 |

| Scenario | Description | Analyses to be Performed | Models to be Used | Status | Resource Actions addressed by Scenario |
|---------------|---|--|----------------------|-----------|---|
| 16 | Increase water temperature in the TAB: During the May and June period, only enough water would be released into the TAB to meet demands from the afterbay. Water would be released to the river at the Diversion Dam. | | HYDROPS WQRRS | | EWG-87 |
| 17 | Investigate the extent of temperature control from the Oroville Facilities: This is a sensitivity analysis (see SP-E6) of how far downstream from the Oroville Facilities that water temperature can be controlled. | | WQRRS | Completed | EWG-83 |
| 18 | Hold Thermalito Afterbay at a minimal water level: This scenario is to investigate the effect that water volume has on afterbay water temperatures during the spring. | WQRRS, Post-process Benchmark to get new storage for each hour | HYDROPS WQRRS | | EWG-87 |
| 19 | Investigate the impacts of power economics on power production: This is a sensitivity analysis to see how changes in power economic assumptions affect peaking and pumpback power operations. | | HYDROPS WQRRS | | |
| 20 | Limit pump-back operations: The benchmark scenario is designed to optimize pump-back operations. Thus, there will be times when it will utilize pump-back to a greater degree than observed in actual operations. Another model scenario (#1) sets pump-back to zero. This model scenario will all pump-back operations to occur; the goal is to model pump-back levels that are near the levels observed historically. | The need for this run is pending the completion of the benchmark scenarios. | HYDROPS WQRRS | | |
| 21 | Winter water temperature sensitivity analysis: This is a sensitivity analysis to investigate the extent of temperature control in the river downstream of the Oroville Facilities during the spring period. | Similar approach as Scenario 17. Analysis starts in Feather River just below afterbay. Factors to analyze include ambient temperature, water temperature, and flow. | WQRRS | | EWG-87 |

SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS Revised October 30, 2003; Based on E&O Workgroup Discussion Resource **Analyses** Models Actions Scenario **Description Status** to be Performed to be Used addressed by Scenario 22 Release additional flow to low flow section: EWG-35, EWG-Details on performing model runs to be determine. 36, EWG-37, Releases from the TAB would be curtailed from (could be all year, but most likely May through December). Discharge rates to the low EWG-38, EWG-During that period, water would be released to the river flow channel may vary up to 83, EWG-87 **HYDROPS** at the Diversion Dam. The purpose of this scenario is to 4000 cfs. Most likely, the scenario would be completed evaluate (1) the effect of residence time on water **WQRRS** temperatures in the afterbay and (2) the effect of water as a series of sensitivity runs. temperatures and attraction flows on fall spawning and rearing.